REMARKS

In the Final Office Action¹, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 4-6, and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication App. No. 2002/0078447 to Mizutome et al. ("*Mizutome*").

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 1, 4-6, and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Mizutome*.

Claim 1 recites an electronic apparatus comprising:

a generation means for generating a <u>new image including the</u> selected screen layout, a first constitutive element selection area, and a second constitutive element selection area, wherein the first constitutive element selection area and the second constitutive element selection area are not displayed within the selected screen layout; and

a second selection means for selecting <u>a first constitutive element</u> <u>displayed within the first constitutive element selection area and a second constitutive element displayed within the second constitutive element selection area, wherein</u>

the second constitutive element selection area <u>displays more than</u> <u>one source of information</u>, and the generation means generates the program.

(emphasis added). *Mizutome* does not disclose the claimed combination of a "generation means," "second selection means," and "second constitutive element selection area."

Mizutome discloses a data processing apparatus "for displaying or outputting information regarding a video, audio, and so on" (paragraph 0012). Fig. 13-17C of Mizutome depict screen layouts. In Mizutome, "the user selects a preferred screen

¹ The Final Office Action contains a number of statements reflecting characterizations of the related art and the claims. Regardless of whether any such statement is identified herein, Applicant declines to automatically subscribe to any statement or characterization in the Final Office Action.

Customer No. 22,852 Application No. 10/634,093 Attorney Docket No. 09812.0348-00

layout among several types of preset screen layouts, and selects it by pushing a determination key 210" (paragraph 0081).

Figs. 16-18 of *Mizutome* disclose screens with multiple areas and displays. Even assuming that *Mizutome* discloses "a plurality of screen layout options that are consecutively displayed," which Applicant does not concede, claim 1 requires "a generation means for generating a new image including the selected screen layout, a first constitutive element selection area, and a second constitutive element selection area, wherein the first constitutive element selection area and the second constitutive element selection area are not displayed within the selected screen layout."

As depicted in Figs. 18A-18D of *Mizutome*, a user may input a command for the display of news or weather. However, as recited in claim 1, the "new image" displays 1) "the selected screen layout," 2) "a first constitutive element selection area," and 3) "a second constitutive element selection area." Moreover, the "first constitutive element selection area" and the "second constitutive element selection area" are not displayed within the "selected screen layout." In contrast, all information in *Mizutome* is displayed within the selected screen.

The Examiner cites Fig. 20 of *Mizutome* and states that windows 1 and 2 correspond to the claimed "first constitutive element selection area" and the "second constitutive element selection area" (Final Office Action at page 4). As depicted in Fig. 19 of *Mizutome*, the information selected for windows 1 and 2 is displayed. However, this display is not the same as the claimed "new image."

According to Fig. 7 of this application, a "plurality of screen layout options" are displayed for selection. Based on the selection, a "new image" appears, as illustrated in

Customer No. 22,852 Application No. 10/634,093 Attorney Docket No. 09812.0348-00

Fig. 9. This "new image" includes at least three elements. The first element is the "selected screen layout," which is selected based on the options displayed in Fig. 7, that is displayed in Fig. 9 as the current layout. The second element is the "first constitutive element selection area," which is displayed in Fig. 9 in conjunction with the "selected screen layout." The third element is the "second constitutive element selection area," which is also displayed in Fig. 9 in conjunction with the "selected screen layout" and the "first constitutive element selection area."

As depicted in Fig. 9, the "new image" includes 1) the "selected screen layout," 2) the "first constitutive element selection area," and 3) the "second constitutive element selection area." According to *Mizutome*, when the user selects a screen layout, that layout is presented with information in the boxes of the layout (See Figs. 18A-D and 19). Therefore, even assuming the selected screen layout in *Mizutome* corresponds to the claimed "new image," which Applicant does not concede, all information is included in the selected screen layout only. However, claim 1 recites a "new image" that includes "the selected screen layout, a first constitutive element selection area, and a second constitutive element selection area, wherein the first constitutive element selection area and the second constitutive element selection area are not displayed within the selected screen layout." In contrast, any constitutive element selection area that may exist in *Mizutome* is contained within the selected screen layout.

Accordingly, *Mizutome* does not teach or suggest the claimed combination of elements including, for example, "a generation means for generating a new image including the selected screen layout, a first constitutive element selection area, and a second constitutive element selection area, wherein the first constitutive element

Customer No. 22,852 Application No. 10/634,093 Attorney Docket No. 09812.0348-00

selection area and the second constitutive element selection area are not displayed

within the selected screen layout," as recited in claim 1.

Therefore, Mizutome also does not teach or suggest "a second selection means

for selecting a first constitutive element displayed within the first constitutive element

selection area and a second constitutive element displayed within the second

constitutive element selection area," and wherein "the second constitutive element

selection area displays more than one source of information," as further recited in

claim 1.

Mizutome fails to anticipate claim 1, and claim 1 is allowable. Claim 4 is also

allowable at least due to its depending from claim 1. Independent claims 5, 6, and 9 are

allowable over Mizutome for at least the same reasons discussed above in regard to

claim 1.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the

rejection. Pending claims 1, 4-6, and 9 are in condition for allowance, and Applicant

requests a favorable action.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge

any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,

GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: May 18, 2009

/David W. Hill/ By:__

David W. Hill

Reg. No. 28,220

-5-